Were Industrialization's Gains Worth the Societal Costs?



A CONTRAST OF 2 ERA's

DESCRIPTION: Ever wonder how we got to now? Many socio-economic challenges are traceable to the "Gilded Age (1865-1900)" when the U.S. grew from a fledging agrarian society to a major industrial power. This discussion-based course balances theory with application to explore:

- Whether the gains of Industrialization were worth the societal costs?
- Were "Divine Providence" and "Manifest Destiny" doctrines misused to exploit immigrants, workers, indigenous and people of color?
- Were the renown industrialists who built this nation actually Robber Barons?
- How can students hone their presentation skills to more effectively engage and persuade?

Join us as this course may change your perspective forever!

COURSE GOALS: By the end of this course you will be able to:

- Practice CRITICAL THINKING by questioning common wisdom, forming your own opinions, and defending those opinions;
- Develop advocacy skills to effectively convey your passion, inspire, persuade and motivate toward discussion of your key points;

REQUIRED READINGS: Readings are divided into 2 groups as detailed on page 2.

- Theoretical Foundation: Provided on Gaucho Space, all students responsible to read weekly;
- Application: Indicated books must be acquired by assigned team in a given week; will present highlights and stimulate discussion.

COURSE REQUIREMENTS: Class meets twice weekly. Upper division students from all majors are welcome, an appreciation of basic economic principles and exposure to macro-economics a plus.

EVALUATION: Attendance and class participation are essential to optimize this course's benefits. Students are assigned one "application" presentation as part of a 3 or 4-student team basis.

- Application Presentation (25%): Team-Present one of the readings listed (next page)
 For each presenter, submit a 1-page outline or write up due on day of presentation;
- Class Participation (25%): Be an active listener, participant and discussion facilitator;
- Homework (25%): Brief weekly reflections and case study assignments that will reinforce assigned readings;
- FINAL (25%): Multiple Choice and Short Answer word problems.

HISTORICAL FOUNDATION (TH)

- Tuesday Instructor Lecture & Discussion
 THeory Readings Provided on Canvas
 - All Students Required Reading

Week One: What Did Linsoln's Death Mean to the Nation and Reconstruction?

Meek Two. Reconstruction: Were the Results Worth a War?

Week Three: Industrialization: Were the Benefits Worth the Comption?

Meek Four. Robber Barons: Did Their Contribution Offset Their Societal Harm?

Neek Five: Labor: Are Employers Obligated to Pay a Living Wage?

Meex Six. Immigration: When Do Newcomers Deserve Acceptance?

Meek Seven Economics: How Did Laissez Faire Contribute to Crises?

Meex Eight Indigenous People: How Does Their Treatment Compare to Genoside?

Week Nine Progressive Era: Was It Successful?

Meek Ten: Progressivism: Did It Have to End?

FINAL EXAM:

CONTEMPORARY APPLICATION (Most Recent Editions)

- Thursday Team Presentations & Discussion
- APplication Reading Required to Assigned Presenters
- Non Presenters Videos Required & Optional Reading
- Need to be Purchased or Acquired

Racial Equity

The False Cause: Fraud, Fabrication and White Supremacy in Confdereate Memory by Adam Bornby

Government Equity

The Fourth Industrial Revolution by Klaus Schwab

Business Equity

How Big Tech Barons Smash Innovation by Ariel Ezrachi

Labor Equity

Making the Global Economy Work for Everyone by Marco Magnani

Immigration Equity

The Deportation Machine by Adam Goodman

Economic Equity

Games of Greed: Excess, Hubris, Fraud & Theft on Main Street & Wall Street by Torsten Dennin

Social Equity

Native America & Questions of Genocide by Alex Alvarez

Legacy Equity

Hilter's American Model by James Withner

Political Equity

The Upswing: How America Came Together a Century Ago & How We Can Do It Again by Robert

EXPECTATIONS: Students who excel in this course will:

- Demonstrate commitment to inquisitive thinking and insightful reflection;
- Be open to new ideas and be supportive of those offered by fellow students;
- Take initiative to facilitate class discussions.

If at any point I sense that students are not doing their part in readings and participation, I reserve the right to modify this syllabus based on our in-class experience and to conduct pop-quizzes depending on class participation. These will be integrated into the course grade. Be respectful of instructor and students during discussions. No acceptance of late papers or presentations.

WORKLOAD: The course starts out at a brisk pace and uses that early material as a base to build your learning. Students are expected to "hit the ground running" with course readings and class participation as it will be near impossible to "slough off" at the beginning and catch up later.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY: It is expected that you will work with other students on team and individual projects. However, benefiting from another student's work without your own contributions will be considered cheating and subject to sanctions.

PRESENTATION GRADING: Presentations will be graded on a maximum 50-point scale and will be evaluated based on the following criteria (below).

- Up to 10 points each awarded for criteria listed.
- That total score will then be adjusted according to the aforementioned evaluation weighting to calculate course grades.
- Note: Each presenter allowed maximum of 1 video clip up to 3 minutes in length. Use of videos in your presentation will raise expectations for your presentation quality.

Early presenters will receive bonus points. Instructor reserves the right to add extra credit for improvement, for addition of outside research and for complexity of topic.

Course Grade will be according to University Standards, grades are based upon the following scale:

A (excellent), Highest quality, exceptionally good, superior
B (good), Above the average, complete; thorough, competent; skilled
C (adequate), Sufficient to satisfy a requirement or meet a need, Average
D (barely passing)
F (not passing)

	PERCENTAGE	GPA
A+	95.00%	4.0
Α	90.00%	4.0
A-	86.66%	3.7
B+	83.33%	3.3
В	80.00%	3.0
B-	76.66%	2.7
C+	73.33%	2.3
C	70.00%	2.0
C-	66.66%	1.7
D+	63.33%	1.3
D	60.00%	1.0
D-	53.00%	0.7

Α	Insightful and	Clear thesis. Logical and	Skillfully integrated,	Inspire class in
	original topic, well	compelling progression of	varied, and distinctive	enthusiastic
	cited, researched,	argument. Engaging	diction and sentence	discussion/debate
	and argued.	introduction. Good flow, and	structure.	based on your well-
	Augment class with	compelling conclusions.		articulated &
	outside material			supported opinions
	where appropriate.			and views.
В	Response to topic	Focused thesis. Logical sequence of	Sentences and	Identify key
	is thoughtful, and	coherent paragraphs.	diction varied, and	controversies
	purpose is clear.	Generally smooth transitions. Intro	fluent. Well	along with pros
	Present well	is informative; conclusion goes	integrated.	and cons for each.
	considered opinions	beyond summary. Relevant		
	and views.	references.	Contanto de la constitutada	C
С	Response to topic	Thesis evident, but unclear	Sentences show little	Summarize assigned
	is appropriate but needs more critical	focus. Organization apparent	sophistication or	topics and convey
		but inconsistent. Lacking	variety and some	key points to assure
	thinking.	smooth transitions. Intro and/or	awkwardness. Diction	class understanding.
		conclusion may be weak. Underdeveloped key points.	occasionally vague or inappropriate wording.	
		Onderdeveloped key points.	Poor quote integration.	
D	Tania ia addusasad	Thesis is week Herberged	Awkward sentences.	Overaniand
ט	Topic is addressed inadequately.	Thesis is weak. Haphazard		Organized
	Ideas lack	organization. Illogical paragraph breaks. Points repetitive or	Vague/ repetitive/ incorrect/ unidiomatic	presentation that is understandable and
	development.	irrelevant.	diction.	audience can
	Under researched.	Intro/conclusion weak.	diction.	comprehend.
-		,	Awkward sentences	
F	Inappropriate topic.	No thesis. No organization.	obscure train of	Confusing, inarticulate
	Unacceptable length.	Illogical progression of		
	Little thought evident.	paragraphs. No transitions.	thought. Vague/	presentation that audience has
	evident.	Does not meet min. length	repetitive/incorrect	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5
		requirements.	diction, incoherent.	difficulty tracking.

TERM PROJECT: INDIVIDUAL GRADE & FEEDBACK						
Presentation Success Goal	<u>Description</u>	Scale 1-10	Comments			
Audience Engagement	How well was audience's interest initially peaked & maintained?					
Organization	How well did information flow and audience absorb it?					
Focus	How well were key materials identified and presented?					
Discussion Facilitation	How well was audience encouraged to participate and react to presentation?					
Creativity & Initiative	Extent to which tools and media are used to gain audience interest & convey info					
Extra Credit Items:						

UCSB POLICY ON ACADEMIC HONESTY: It is expected that students attending the University of California understand and subscribe to the ideal of academic integrity and are willing to bear individual responsibility for their work. Any work (written or otherwise) submitted to fulfill an academic requirement must represent a student's original work. Any act of academic dishonesty, such as cheating or plagiarism, will subject a person to university disciplinary action. Using or attempting to use materials, information, study aids, or commercial "research" services not authorized by the instructor of the course constitutes cheating.

Representing the words, ideas, or concepts of another person without appropriate attribution is plagiarism. Whenever another person's written work is utilized, whether it be a single phrase or longer, quotation marks must be used, and sources cited. Paraphrasing another's work, i.e., borrowing the ideas or concepts and putting them into one's "own" words, must also be acknowledged. Although a person's state of mind and intention will be considered in determining the University response to an act of academic dishonesty, this in no way lessens the responsibility of the student. (Section A.2 from: http://www.sa.ucsb.edu/regulations, Student Conduct, General Standards of Conduct)

See student FAQ guide to academic dishonesty at:

http://judicialaffairs.sa.ucsb.edu//academicintegflyer.pdf. Disclaimer: This syllabus is as accurate as possible, but is subject to change at the instructor's discretion, within the bounds of UC policy.

Disclaimer: This syllabus is as accurate as possible and is subject to change at the instructor's discretion, within the bounds of UC policy.